

1 Bingham McCutchen LLP
DAVID M. BALABANIAN (CA 37368)
2 CHRISTOPHER B. HOCKETT (CA 121539)
JOY K. FUYUNO (CA 193890)
3 Three Embarcadero Center
San Francisco, CA 94111-4067
4 Telephone: (415) 393-2000
Facsimile: (415) 393-2286
5

Bingham McCutchen LLP
6 RICHARD A. RIPLEY (DC 412959)
1120 20th Street N.W., Suite 800
7 Washington, DC 20036
Telephone: (202) 778-6150
8 Facsimile: (202) 778-6155

9 Attorneys for Defendant
Intel Corporation
10

11 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

12 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
13

14 TROTTER-VOGEL REALTY, INC. dba
PRUDENTIAL CALIFORNIA REALTY,
15 individually, and on behalf of all those similarly
situated
16

Plaintiff,

17 v.

18 INTEL CORPORATION,

19 Defendant.
20

No. C-05-3028-MHP

STIPULATION AND ~~[PROPOSED]~~
ORDER TO EXTEND FILING DATE
FOR DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO
PLAINTIFFS' COMPLAINT

21 IT IS STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES, THROUGH THEIR
22 COUNSEL AS FOLLOWS:

23 Pursuant to Civil Local Rule 6-2, Defendant Intel Corporation and Plaintiff
24 Trotter-Vogel Realty, Inc., dba Prudential California Realty hereby stipulate that Intel
25 Corporation's response to Plaintiff's complaint shall be due either 60 days after transfer of the
26 above captioned case pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings

STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE RESPONSE DATE

per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407 or, in the alternative, 45 days after any such motion has been denied. The parties request this extension of time to answer or otherwise respond because the plaintiffs in *Brauch, et al. v. Intel Corp.*, No. C 05-2743 (BZ) (N.D. Cal., filed July 5, 2005), a related matter, have filed a petition to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407, and the above-styled action has been identified as a related action to that petition. As a result the outcome of the pending petition will impact significantly the schedule of this case.

This is the first stipulation between the parties. Because this litigation has just begun, granting such a stipulation will not have any negative impact on the schedule of this case.

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED.
DATED: August 12, 2005

Bingham McCutchen LLP

By: /s/ Joy K. Fuyuno
JOY K. FUYUNO
Attorneys for Defendant
Intel Corporation

DATED: August __, 2005

Cotchett, Pitre, Simon & McCarthy


By: NANCY L. FINEMAN
Attorneys for Plaintiff
Trotter-Vogel Realty, dba Prudential California
Realty

[PROPOSED] ORDER TO CONTINUE DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE DATE

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendant Intel Corporation's response to Plaintiff's complaint shall be due either 60 days after transfer of the above captioned case pursuant to any motion to coordinate or consolidate pre-trial proceedings per 28 U.S.C. Section 1407, or, in the alternative, 45 days after any such motion has been denied.

PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 19, 2005



Honorable Marilyn H. Patel
United States District Judge